

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United state. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS TO BOX 1450 Olex Judicia, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,213	11/20/2001	Vincent E. Parla CIS01-06(7385
7:	590 12/29/2005	EXAMINER		
Barry W. Cha		ANYA, CHARLES E		
CHAPIN & HU Westborough C		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1700 West Park	c Drive	2194		
Westborough,	MA 01581	DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary 10/044.213			Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
## Examiner						
Charles E. Anya Charles E. Anya 2194	Office Action Summary					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ½ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Entendence of inemary be sealined used the provisions of 37CR 1138(d) in no event, forewar, mays reply be at emby field If NO period for reply is specified above, the mainrum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallur to reply within the set or endered period for rigid, with by statute, desert he application become abMNODROE (SI SI S. €, § 133). Fallur to reply within the set or endered period for rigid, with the mailing date of this communication. Fallur to reply within the set or endered period for rigid, with the mailing date of this communication. Fallur to reply within the set or endered period for rigid, with the mailing date of this communication. Fallur to reply supplied to reply its part of the set of the communication, even if smelly field, may reduce any carred patient term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1790(5) 2a		-				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ½ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and six (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Frober Six (7) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c	-	The MAILING DATE of this communic		•	1 -	ddress
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of tem may be available under the provides of 37 CPR 1.136 (a). In oevent, however, may a reply be timely filled and the CSX (b) MCNTHS from the maining date of this communication of 37 CPR 1.136 (a). In oevent, however, may a reply be timely filled and the CSX (b) MCNTHS from the maining date of this communication. Fallue to received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Fallue to received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed pathent them adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 9/23/05. Status 1) Responsive to communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayte, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-20 and 22-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d). 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Net 1.27(a)). *See the attached	Period fo	or Reply				24.005
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\frac{9/23/05}{23/05}\$. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stath or to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after	ALING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evaluation. utory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the apply and vill, by statute, cause the apply and vill, by statute.	HIS COMMUNICATION I went, however, may a reply be ting will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. & 133)	,
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4i) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-20 and 22-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **SuperNisORY PATENT EXAMINE SuperNisORY PATENT Application (PTO-152) **Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) Notice of References Cited (PTO-149 or PTO/S5008) **Notice of Informal Patent (PTO-152)	Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4i) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-20 and 22-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **SuperNisORY PATENT EXAMINE SuperNisORY PATENT Application (PTO-152) **Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) Notice of References Cited (PTO-149 or PTO/S5008) **Notice of Informal Patent (PTO-152)	1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 9/23/05.			
3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.4-20 and 22-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.4-20 and 22-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The orath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note that in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **WILLIAM THONSON** **SuperNisORY PATENT EXAMINE** **Depen Note; Mail Date				non-final.		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,		•		osecution as to the	e merits is
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-20 and 22-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **WHILAM THOMSON** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATIONSON** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION** Supervisory Patent Opicial formation placed in Information Placetion (PTO-152) Oblice of Draftsperson's Patent Opicial (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Oblice of Information Placetion (PTO-152)						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Supervisory Patent Examine **Supervisory Patent Examine **Supervisory Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1448) or PTO/58/08) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Mail Date	Dispositi	on of Claims				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Supervisory Patent Examine **Supervisory Patent Examine **Supervisory Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1448) or PTO/58/08) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Mail Date	4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-20</u> and 22-39 is/are pe	ending in the applica	ation.		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE Continuation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Dale Paper No(s)/Mail Dale Paper No(s)/Mail Dale Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)		· -				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Author Mall Date						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-92) Notice of References Cited (PTO-92) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4-20 and 22-39 is/are rej	jected.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8)[Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or election r	requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE Attachment(s) Di Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Di Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicati	on Papers				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE Attachment(s) Di Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Di Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the □	Examiner.	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) I	be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is requir	red if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) **See the attached (PTO-892) **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) **Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) **Diagnostic Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) **Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form P7	TO-152.
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) **Attachment(s) **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date **Di	_		or foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) **Attachment(s) **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date **Supervisors Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	•	ocuments have bee	en received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) **Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) **Interview Summary (PTO-413) **Paper No(s)/Mail Date **Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have bee	en received in Applicati	on No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. WILLIAM THOMSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE OF TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE OF		3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			•	` · ·		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	ified copies not receive	ed.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					<i>(</i> .	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					WHELIAM	N THOMSON
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	• •				FAILI
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			Ω-948)			
	3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		5) D Notice of Informal P)-152)

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1,2,4-20,22-39 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4-13,19,20,22-31 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,167,448 to Hemphill et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,594,786 B1 to Connelly et al.
- 4. As to claim 1, Hemphill teaches an event processing server, a method for processing events comprising the steps of: receiving an event message ("...ENM..." Col. 2 Ln. 10 17); the event message contains event registration information (EAS Files 210 Col. 10 Ln. 63 67, Col. 11 Ln. 1 10); identifying event information required to process event data based on the event message ("...locate a file..." Col. 2 Ln. 20 23, Col. 2 Ln. 43 48, Col. 8 Ln. 27 45).
- 5. Hemphill is silent with reference to product versions currently supported and a definition of a set of classes for said product, determining if existing event information is

Art Unit: 2194

accessible to process the event data and if the existing event information is not accessible: (i) providing an event rejection indicating missing event information; and (ii) receiving the missing event information identified in the event rejection.

- 6. Connelly teaches product versions currently supported and a definition of a set of classes for said product (Source Col. 8 Ln. 53 64, Col. 19 Ln. 27 31), determining if existing event information is accessible to process the event data and if the existing event information is not accessible; (i) providing an event rejection indicating missing event information (Col. 16 Ln. 57 67); and (ii) receiving the missing event information identified in the event rejection ("...Step 188..." Col.17 Ln. 10 16).
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to combine Connelly and Hemphill because the teaching of Connelly would improve the system of Hemphill by agent-server recovery protocol for notifying a monitored system by a monitoring server (HA server) to correct an error event received therefrom (Connelly Col. 16 Ln. 57 63).
- 8. As to claim 2, Hemphill teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: selecting the event information based on the event data received', and generating an event output from the selected event information (Col. 2 Ln. 43 48).
- 9. As to claim 4, Hemphill teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the event message includes at least one unique identifier identifying the source of the event data ("...DEVICEID..." Col. 9 Ln. 32 50, Col. 10 Ln. 38 52).

Application/Control Number: 10/044,213

Art Unit: 2194

Page 4

10. As to claim 5, Hemphill teaches the method of claim 4 wherein the step of identifying event information required to process event data identifies the event information required based on the source of the event data (Col. 8 Ln. 43 - 45).

•

- 11. As to claim 6, Hemphill teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the event message includes at least one unique identifier identifying event information required to process the event data ("...locate a file..." Col. 2 Ln. 20 23, Col. 2 Ln. 43 48, Col. 8 Ln. 27 45).
- 12. As to claim 7, Connelly teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the steps of receiving comprise a step of accepting at least one of event registration information, event data and event information mark-up language documents (Step 188 Col. 17 Ln. 14 16).
- 13. As to claim 8, Hemphill teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the event data includes network management data indicating a network management event associated with a source of the event data and wherein the step of receiving event data utilizes a hypertext transport protocol to receive the event data (Col. 10 Ln. 22 62).
- 14. As to claim 9, Connelly teaches the method of claim 1 wherein in the step of determining, if the existing event information is accessible, the method further

Art Unit: 2194

comprises the steps of: (i) providing an event data destination; and (ii) receiving the event data via the event data destination (Step 190 Col. 17 Ln. 10 - 19, figure 8B Col. 18 Ln. 3 - 14).

- 15. As to claim 10, Connelly teaches the method of claim 9 wherein the steps of receiving comprise the steps of: reading first and second event data; processing the first and second event data to produce event output data that reflects a hierarchical event relationship between the first and second event data ("...out-of-sequence..." figure 7E Col. 17 Ln. 10 19, figure 88 Col. 18 Ln. 3 14).
- 16. As to claim 11, Connelly teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising the step of creating system component status records and wherein the step of receiving the event data further includes the step of: updating a status of the system component status record based on the event data received ("...status change..." Col. 9 Ln. 65 67, Col. 10 Ln. 1 9).
- 17. As to claim 12, Hemphill the method of claim 1 wherein the event message contains event data (ENM 207 Col. 10 Ln. 22 62).
- 18. As to claims 13 and 31, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,213

Art Unit: 2194

19. As to claim 19, Hemphill teaches an event processing server for processing event messages comprising: a memory; a communications interface; a processor; and an interconnection mechanism coupling the memory, the processor and the communications interface (Server I/F 221 Col. 8 Ln. 1 - 14), wherein the processor is configured to: receive an event message (Event Processor Logic 222 (ENM 207) Col. 8 Ln. 15 - 26), the event message contains event registration information (EAS Files 210 Col. 10 Ln. 63 - 67, Col. 11 Ln. .1 - 10), identify event information required to process event data based on the event message ("...location pointer..." Col. 8 Ln. 27 - 45).

Page 6

- 20. Hemphill is silent with reference to event registration information further including product versions currently supported and a definition of a set of classes for said product, determining if existing event information is accessible to process the event data and if the existing event information is not accessible; (i) provide an event rejection indicating missing event information; and (ii) receive the missing event information identified in the event rejection.
- 21. Connelly teaches event registration information further including product versions currently supported and a definition of a set of classes for said product (Source Col. 8 Ln. 53 64, Col. 19 Ln. 27 31), determining if existing event information is accessible to process the event data and if the existing event information is not accessible: (i) providing an event rejection indicating missing event information (Col. 16 Ln. 57 67); and (ii) receiving the missing event information identified in the event rejection "...Step 88..." Col.17 Ln. 10 16).

Art Unit: 2194

22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at time the invention was made to combine Connelly and Hemphill because the teaching of Connelly would improve the system of Hemphill by agent-server recovery protocol for notifying a monitored system by a monitoring server (HA server) to correct an error event received therefrom (Connelly Col. 16 Ln. 57 - 63).

- 23. As to claims 20 and 22 30, see the rejection of claims 2 and 4 12 respectively.
- 24. As to claims 37 and 38, see the rejection of claims 1 and 19 respectively.
- 25. As to claim 39, Hemphill teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said event registration information includes information that identifies a source of forthcoming event data as well as event information that the event processing server will require in order to be able to correctly process the forthcoming event data (EAS Files 210 Col. 10 Ln. 63 67, Col. 11 Ln. 1 67, Col. 12 Ln. 1 67).
- 26. Claims 14-16,18,32-34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,779,004 B1 to Zintel in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,594,786 B1 to Connelly et al.
- 27. As to claim 14, Zintel teaches in an event generation client, a method for processing events comprising: sending event registration information including

Art Unit: 2194

identifying event information required to process event data

(RegisterupnpEventsource() Col. 32 Ln. 10 - 38, Col. 33 Ln. 50 - 67); detecting an event (SumbitupnppropertyEvent() Col. 33 Ln. 1 - 42); in response to detecting an event, creating event data (Col. 33 Ln. 24 - 25, Col. 34 Ln. 1 - 8); and sending the event data to an event processing server ("...subscribers..." Col. 33 Ln. 1 - 28, HUP Server 626 Col. 34 Ln. 15 - 25).

- 28. Zintel is silent with reference to event registration information further including product versions currently supported and a definition of a set of classes for said product.
- 29. Connelly teaches event registration information further including product versions currently supported and a definition of a set of classes for said product (Source Col. 8 Ln. 53 64, Col. 19 Ln. 27 31).
- 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to combine Connelly and Hemphill because the teaching of Connelly would improve the system of Hemphill by agent-server recovery protocol for notifying a monitored system by a monitoring server (HA server) to correct an error event received therefrom (Connelly Col. 16 Ln. 57 63).
- 31. As to claim 15, Zintel teaches the method of claim 14 wherein the step of creating event data includes formatting the event data in a mark-up language format capable of transmission via a hyper-text transport protocol (Col. 29 Ln. 11 16, "...XML body..." Col. 33 Ln. 24 25).

Application/Control Number: 10/044,213

Art Unit: 2194

32. As to claim 16, Zintel teaches the method of claim 14 wherein the step of sending event registration information, further comprises the step of: initiating a multiple of status checks of sources to produce status check information; and forwarding status check information in the event data to the event processing server ("...alive..." Col. 39 Ln 9 - 11).

Page 9

- 33. As to claim 18, Connnelly teaches the method of claim 14, further including the steps of: receiving an event rejection indicating missing event information from an event process server; obtaining the missing information; and sending the missing evening information to the event processing server ("...Step 188..." Col.17 Ln. 10 16).
- 34. As to claims 32 34, see the rejection of claims 14 16 respectively.
- 35. As to claim 36, see the rejection of claim 18 above.
- 36. Claims 17 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,779,0.04 B1 to Zintel in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,594,786 B1 to Connelly et al. as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,526,442 B1 to Stupek Jr. et al.
- 37. As to claim 17, Zintel and Connelly are silent with reference to the method of claim 14 wherein the step of sending the event data further comprises the step of:

Art Unit: 2194

periodically sending event data to the event processing server as confirmation of an operating communications channel.

- 38. Stupek teaches the method of claim 14 wherein the step of sending further comprises the step of: periodically sending event data to the event processing server as confirmation of an operating communications channel (Col. 2 Ln. 54 67).
- 39. It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Stupek, Connelly and Zintel because the teaching of Stupek would improve the system of Connelly and Zintel by providing plurality of notices indicative of the state of network to a management engine (Col. 2 Ln. 54 67).
- 40. As to claim 35, see the rejection of claim 17 above.

Response to Arguments

41. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,4-20 and 22-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E. Anya whose telephone number is (571) 272-3757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

Art Unit: 2194

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on (571) 272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles E Anya Examiner Art Unit 2194

cea.

WILLIAM THOMSON WILLIAM THOMSON PATENT EXAMINER